

## Public Support - Support Request #7843

### RETURN\_ERROR using \_code instead of \_error for assert

2019-07-16 07:45 - hidden

<b>Status:</b>	Closed		
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal		
<b>Category:</b>			
<b>Customer:</b>	AUDI	<b>Product Issue Numbers:</b>	<a href="https://www.cip.audi.de/jira/browse/ACORE-10073">https://www.cip.audi.de/jira/browse/ACORE-10073</a>
<b>Department:</b>	EF	<b>Affected Products:</b>	ADTF 3.5.0
<b>Requester's Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Platform:</b>	
<b>Support Level:</b>	3rd Level	<b>Topic:</b>	ADTF::Util
<b>Resolution:</b>	Product Issue Opened	<b>FAQ Links:</b>	

#### Description

##### Supportanfrage

.\pkg\utils\include\utils\base\error.h  
Zeile 37ff

Die Bezeichner \_error und \_code sind unterschiedlich. Ich glaub, dass ist so nicht gewollt...

```
#if (__UCOM_ASSERT_WHEN_LOGGING_ERRORS == 1)
/// Assert on specific error code, which requires the calling function's return type to be tResult
#define RETURN_ERROR(_error)          assert(IS_OK(_code)); return (_code)
/// Same as RETURN_ERROR(_error) using a printf like parameter list for detailed error description
#define RETURN_ERROR_DESC(_error, ...) assert(IS_OK(_code)); return DETAILED_RESULT(_code, \
__VA_ARGS__)
```

##### Lösung

Danke für den Hinweis, hab ein Produktticket angelegt -> ACORE-10073

Ist zum Glück halb so wild aktuell, denn der Code wird nicht angesprungen und der Non-assert Fall darunter ist korrekt.

#### History

##### #1 - 2019-07-16 12:24 - hidden

- Project changed from Public Support to 11
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Topic set to ADTF::Util
- Customer set to AUDI
- Department set to EF

##### #2 - 2019-07-17 09:16 - hidden

- Project changed from 11 to Public Support
- Subject changed from ADTF 3.6.0 error.h: \_error vs. \_code to RETURN\_ERROR using \_code instead of \_error for assert
- Status changed from In Progress to To Be Closed
- Private changed from Yes to No
- Resolution set to Product Issue Opened
- Product Issue Numbers set to <https://www.cip.audi.de/jira/browse/ACORE-10073>
- Support Level changed from 2nd Level to 3rd Level
- Affected Products ADTF 3.5.0 added

Hi Jens,

danke für den Hinweis, hab ein Produktticket angelegt -> ACORE-10073

Ist zum Glück halb so wild aktuell, denn der Code wird nicht angesprungen und der Non-assert Fall darunter ist korrekt.

**#3 - 2019-07-17 09:17 - hidden**

- *Description updated*

**#5 - 2020-07-07 12:44 - hidden**

- *Status changed from To Be Closed to Closed*